IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN RE INTEL CORPORATION MICROPROCESSOR ANTITRUST LITIGATION) MDL No. 05-1717-JJF)
ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC. and AMD INTERNATIONAL SALES & SERVICE, LTD.,) C.A. No. 05-441-JJF)
Plaintiffs,))
v.))
INTEL CORPORATION and INTEL KABUSHIKI KAISHA,,)))
Defendants.)))
PHIL PAUL, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,) C.A. No. 05-485-JJF
Plaintiffs,))
vs.)))
INTEL CORPORATION,))
Defendant.)

NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION OF <u>HANSA | GCR</u>

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, defendant Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushika Kaisha will take the deposition of Hansa | GCR on May 27, 2009 beginning at 9:00 a.m., at the offices of Perkins Coie, 1120 N.W. Couch Street, Tenth Floor, Portland, Oregon 97209-4128, or at such other time and place as the parties may agree. The deposition will be recorded by

stenographic and sound-and-visual (videographic) means, will be taken before a Notary public or other officer authorized to administer oaths, and will continue from day-to-day until completed, weekends and public holidays excepted.

Reference is made to the "Description of Matters on Which Examination is Requested" attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by this reference. In accordance with Rule 30(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Gartner Custom Research is hereby notified of its obligation to designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents (or other persons who consent to do so) to testify on its behalf as to all matters embraced in the "Description of Matters on Which Examination is Requested" and known or reasonably available to Gartner Custom Research.

OF COUNSEL:

Robert E. Cooper Daniel S. Floyd Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 900071 (213) 229-7000

Darren B. Bernhard Howrey LLP 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20004 (202) 783-0800

Dated: May 6, 2009

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

By: /s/ W. Harding Drane, Jr.
Richard L. Horwitz (#2246)
W. Harding Drane, Jr. (#1023)

Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor 1313 N. Market Street

P.O. Box 951

Wilmington, DE 19899-0951

(302) 984-6000

rhorwitz@potteranderson.com wdrane@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Defendants Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kaisha

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the District of Oregon

Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. and AMD International)
Plaintiff) Civil Action No. 05 444 LIE
V.) Civil Action No. 05-441-JJF
Intel Corp. and Intel Kabushiki Kaisha	(If the action is pending in another district, state where:
Defendant) District of Delaware
STIDDOENA TO TEST	TIFY AT A DEPOSITION
	MENTS IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: Hansa GCR, 308 SW 1st Avenue, Portland, Oregon	97204
deposition to be taken in this civil action. If you are an organized	ar at the time, date, and place set forth below to testify at a ganization that is <i>not</i> a party in this case, you must designate signate other persons who consent to testify on your behalf ment:
Place: Perkins coie, 1120 N.W. Couch Street, 10th Floor	Date and Time:
Portland, Oregon 97209-4128	05/27/2009 09:00
material:	permit their inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the
The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(c), relating to 45 (d) and (e), relating to your duty to respond to this subpattached.	your protection as a person subject to a subpoena, and Rule poena and the potential consequences of not doing so, are
Date:05/06/2009	
Date:05/06/2009 CLERK OF COURT	
······································	OR 1 Harding Dal
······································	Witharding Day.
CLERK OF COURT Signature of Clerk or Deputy C	Clerk Attorney's signature
CLERK OF COURT Signature of Clerk or Deputy Country The name, address, e-mail, and telephone number of the at	Clerk Attorney's signature ttorney representing (name of party) Intel Corporation and
CLERK OF COURT Signature of Clerk or Deputy C	Clerk Attorney's signature

Civil Action No. 05-441-JJF

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

i personany serve	ed the subpoena on the individual at		; or
			, 01
☐ I left the subpoen	a at the individual's residence or us	ual place of abode with (name) erson of suitable age and discretion who	resides there
on (date)		individual's last known address; or	
☐ I served the subp	oena on (name of individual)		, who is
-	o accept service of process on behal		
		on (date)	; or
			,
☐ Other (specify): Unless the subpoena		States, or one of its officers or agents, l	. I have also
Other (specify): Unless the subpoena tendered to the witness	was issued on behalf of the United ess fees for one day's attendance, an	d the mileage allowed by law, in the an	I have also nount of
☐ Other (specify): Unless the subpoena tendered to the without	was issued on behalf of the United	-	. I have also
Other (specify): Unless the subpoena tendered to the without the subpoena tendered to the subpoena tendered to the without the subpoena tendered to the subpoena tendered	was issued on behalf of the United ess fees for one day's attendance, an	d the mileage allowed by law, in the and for services, for a total of \$	I have also nount of
Other (specify): Unless the subpoena tendered to the wither sees are \$	was issued on behalf of the United ess fees for one day's attendance, an . for travel and \$	d the mileage allowed by law, in the and for services, for a total of \$	I have also nount of
Other (specify): Unless the subpoena tendered to the wither sees are \$	was issued on behalf of the United ess fees for one day's attendance, an . for travel and \$	d the mileage allowed by law, in the and for services, for a total of \$	I have also nount of

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), and (e) (Effective 12/1/07)

(c) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena.

- (1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The issuing court must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees on a party or attorney who fails to comply.
 - (2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.
- (A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.
- (B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:
- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the issuing court for an order compelling production or inspection,
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.
- (3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.
- (A) When Required. On timely motion, the issuing court must quash or modify a subpoena that:
 - (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to travel more than 100 miles from where that person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person except that, subject to Rule 45(c)(3)(B)(iii), the person may be commanded to attend a trial by traveling from any such place within the state where the trial is held;
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
 - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
- (B) When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the issuing court may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:
- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information;
- (ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party; or
- (iii) a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial.
- (C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(c)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:
- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
- (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

- (d) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.
- (1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information.

 These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:
- (A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.
- (B) Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.
- (C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- (D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.
- (2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.
- (A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:
 - (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.
- (B) Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.
- (e) Contempt. The issuing court may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena. A nonparty's failure to obey must be excused if the subpoena purports to require the nonparty to attend or produce at a place outside the limits of Rule 45(c)(3)(A)(ii).

EXHIBIT A

EXHIBIT A

DESCRIPTION OF MATTERS ON WHICH EXAMINATION IS REQUESTED

I. **DEFINITIONS**

- 1. "AMD" shall mean and refer collectively to plaintiffs Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. and AMD International Sales & Service, Ltd., including their respective past and present officers, directors, agents, attorneys, employees, consultants, or other persons acting on either of their behalf.
- 2. The term "Hansa | GCR" shall mean and refer to the marketing research and advisory firm Hansa | GCR, and any affiliated entities, as well as the owners, employees, agents, and other representatives of Hansa | GCR.

II. SUBJECT MATTER

- 1. Authentication of all brand tracking surveys prepared by Hansa | GCR or any predecessors in interest to Hansa | GCR for AMD between January 1, 2000 and the present.
- 2. Description of the creation, preparation, and retention of all brand tracking surveys prepared by Hansa | GCR or any predecessors in interest to Hansa | GCR for AMD between January 1, 2000 and the present.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, W. Harding Drane, Jr., hereby certify that on May 6, 2009, the attached document was hand delivered to the following persons and was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing(s) to the following and the document is available for viewing and downloading from CM/ECF:

Jesse A. Finkelstein
Frederick L. Cottrell, III
Chad M. Shandler
Steven J. Fineman
Richards, Layton & Finger
One Rodney Square
920 North King Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

James L. Holzman
J. Clayton Athey
Prickett, Jones & Elliott, P.A.
1310 King Street
P.O. Box 1328
Wilmington, DE 19899

I hereby certify that on May 6, 2009, I have Electronically Mailed the documents to the following non-registered participants:

Charles P. Diamond
Linda J. Smith
O'Melveny & Myers LLP
1999 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067
cdiamond@omm.com
lsmith@omm.com

Mark A. Samuels O'Melveny & Myers LLP 400 South Hope Street Los Angeles, CA 90071 msamuels@omm.com

Salem M. Katsh
Laurin B. Grollman
Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman LLP
1633 Broadway, 22nd Floor
New York, New York 10019
skatsh@kasowitz.com
lgrollman@kasowitz.com

Daniel A. Small
Cohen, Milstein, Hausfeld & Toll, P.L.L.C.
1100 New York Avenue, NW
Suite 500, West Tower
Washington, DC 20005
dsmall@cmht.com

Craig C. Corbitt
Judith A. Zahid
Zelle Hofmann Voelbel & Mason LLP
44 Montgomery Street
Suite 3400
San Francisco, CA 94104
ccorbitt@zelle.com
jzahid@zelle.com

Guido Saveri
R. Alexander Saveri
Saveri & Saveri, Inc.
706 Sansome Street
San Francisco, CA 94111
guido@saveri.com
rick@saveri.com

Michael P. Lehmann
Jon T. King
Hausfeld LLP
44 Montgomery Street
Suite 3400
San Francisco, CA 94104
mlehmann@hausfeldllp.com
jking@hausfeldllp.com

Steve W. Berman Anthony D. Shapiro Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro, LLP 1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900 Seattle, WA 98101 steve@hbsslaw.com tony@hbsslaw.com

Michael D. Hausfeld Brent W. Landau Hausfeld LLP 1146 19th Street, NW Fifth Floor Washington, DC 20036 mhausfeld@hausfeldllp.com blandau@hausfeldllp.com

By: /s/ W. Harding Drane, Jr.

Richard L. Horwitz (#2246)
W. Harding Drane, Jr. (#1023)
POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP
Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor
1313 N. Market Street
P.O. Box 951
Wilmington, DE 19899-0951
(302) 984-6000
rhorwitz@potteranderson.com
wdrane@potteranderson.com
Attorneys for Defendants
Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kasiha