

Exhibit A

Corporation ("Tech Data"), 5350 Tech Data Drive, Clearwater, Florida 33760. A true and correct copy of the subpoena is attached hereto.

By and through their attorneys, the Class Plaintiffs will take the deposition upon oral examination of Tech Data regarding the subject matter set forth in the attached Exhibit A. The deposition will be recorded by stenographic and sound-and-visual (videographic) means, and will be taken before a Notary Public or other officer authorized to administer oaths, commencing at 12:30 P.M. on June 12, 2009, at Tech Data Corporation, 5350 Tech Data Drive, Clearwater, Florida 33760, or at an alternative place and time mutually agreed upon by the parties. The deposition(s) will continue from day to day until completed and shall be transcribed. You are invited to attend and cross-examine the witness.

Dated: June 9, 2009

OF COUNSEL:

Daniel A. Small
George F. Farah
Kalpana Kotagal
COHEN, MILSTEIN, SELLERS
& TOLL, PLLC
1100 New York Avenue, NW
Suite 500, West Tower
Washington, DC 20005

Seth R. Gassman
COHEN, MILSTEIN, SELLERS
& TOLL, PLLC
150 East 52nd Street
Thirtieth Floor
New York, NY 10022

Steve W. Berman
Anthony D. Shapiro
Erin K. Flory
Steve W. Fimmel
HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900
Seattle, WA 98101

PRICKETT JONES & ELLIOTT, P.A.

By: Laina M. Herbert

James L. Holzman (#663)
J. Clayton Athey (#4378)
Laina M. Herbert (#4717)
Melissa N. Donimirski (#4701)
1310 King Street
P.O. Box 1328
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 888-6500
jlholzman@prickett.com
jcathey@prickett.com
lmherbert@prickett.com
mndonimirski@prickett.com
*Interim Liaison Counsel and Attorneys for
Phil Paul, on behalf of himself and all
others similarly situated*

Guido Saveri
R. Alexander Saveri
Lisa Saveri
SAVERI & SAVERI, INC.
706 Sansome Street
San Francisco, CA 94111

Craig C. Corbitt
Judith A. Zahid
ZELLE, HOFMANN, VOELBEL
& MASON LLP
44 Montgomery St., Suite 3400
San Francisco, CA 94104

*Interim Co-Lead Counsel for the Class
Plaintiffs*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Middle District of Florida

IN RE INTEL CORPORATION MICROPROCESSOR ANTITRUST LITIGATION

PHIL PAUL, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff v. INTEL CORPORATION, Defendant

MDL No. 05-1717-JJF Civil Action No. Consol. C.A. No. 05-485-JJF (If the action is pending in another district, state where: District of Delaware)

SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY AT A DEPOSITION OR TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Tech Data Corporation To: 5350 Tech Data Drive Clearwater, FL 33760

Testimony: YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the time, date, and place set forth below to testify at a deposition to be taken in this civil action. If you are an organization that is not a party in this case, you must designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or designate other persons who consent to testify on your behalf about the following matters, or those set forth in an attachment: See Schedule A attached.

Table with 2 columns: Place (Tech Data Corporation, 5350 Tech Data Drive, Clearwater, FL 33760) and Date and Time (June 12, 2009 at 12:30 p.m.)

The deposition will be recorded by this method: stenographic and videographic means

Production: You, or your representatives, must also bring with you to the deposition the following documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and permit their inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the material:

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(c), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena, and Rule 45 (d) and (e), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so, are attached.

Date: 6/9/09

CLERK OF COURT

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

OR

Laina M. Herbert Attorney's signature

The name, address, e-mail, and telephone number of the attorney representing (name of party) Class Plaintiffs, who issues or requests this subpoena, are:

Laina M. Herbert (#4717), Prickett, Jones & Elliott, P.A. 1310 King Street, P.O. Box 1328, Wilmington, DE 19899-1328 (302) 888-6500 LMHerbert@prickett.com

Civil Action No. MDL No. 05-1717-JJF; Consol. C.A. 05-485-JJF; C.A. 05-441-JJF

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

This subpoena for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
was received by me on *(date)* _____.

I personally served the subpoena on the individual at *(place)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

I left the subpoena at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the subpoena on *(name of individual)* _____, who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

I returned the subpoena unexecuted because _____; or

Other *(specify)*:

Unless the subpoena was issued on behalf of the United States, or one of its officers or agents, I have also
tendered to the witness fees for one day's attendance, and the mileage allowed by law, in the amount of
\$ _____.

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ 0.00.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), and (e) (Effective 12/1/07)

(c) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The issuing court must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction — which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees — on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

(A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.

(B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises — or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:

(i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the issuing court for an order compelling production or inspection.

(ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

(A) When Required. On timely motion, the issuing court must quash or modify a subpoena that:

(i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;

(ii) requires a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to travel more than 100 miles from where that person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person — except that, subject to Rule 45(c)(3)(B)(iii), the person may be commanded to attend a trial by traveling from any such place within the state where the trial is held;

(iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or

(iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the issuing court may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:

(i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information;

(ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party; or

(iii) a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial.

(C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(c)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

(i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and

(ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(d) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

(1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:

(A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.

(B) Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.

(C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

(A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:

(i) expressly make the claim; and

(ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.

(B) Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(e) Contempt. The issuing court may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena. A nonparty's failure to obey must be excused if the subpoena purports to require the nonparty to attend or produce at a place outside the limits of Rule 45(c)(3)(A)(ii).

Schedule A

DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

1. The term "MICROPROCESSOR" means general purpose microprocessors using the x86 instruction set (e.g., Sempron, Athlon, Turion, Opteron, Celeron, Pentium, Core, Core Duo, and Xeon).
2. The term "TECH DATA" refers to TECH DATA CORPORATION and any of its controlled present or former subsidiaries, parents, and predecessor or successor companies.
3. The term "COMPUTER SYSTEM" means any product that utilizes a MICROPROCESSOR including, without limitation, desktop computers, notebook computers and workstations.
4. The term "SALES DATA" refers to the data files that TECH DATA produced in this litigation, entitled: INVOICEYR00DATA.txt, INVOICEYR01DATA.txt, INVOICEYR02DATA.txt, INVOICEYR03DATA.txt, INVOICEYR04DATA.txt, INVOICEYR05DATA.txt, INVOICEYR06DATA.txt, INVOICEYR07DATA.txt.
5. The term "PURCHASE DATA" refers to the data files that TECH DATA produced in this litigation, entitled: POYR02DATA.txt, POYR03DATA.txt, POYR04DATA.txt, POYR05DATA.txt, POYR06DATA.txt, POYR07DATA.txt.
6. The term "END USER DATA" refers to the data files that TECH DATA produced in this litigation, entitled: ENDUSERYR03DATA.txt, ENDUSERYR04DATA.txt, ENDUSERYR05DATA.txt, ENDUSERYR06DATA.txt, ENDUSERYR07DATA.txt.
7. The term "REBATE DATA" refers to the data files that TECH DATA produced in this litigation, entitled INTEL - AMD 2000 - 2007 billings (Tech Data Rebate Data).xls.
8. The term "LOOKUP TABLE" refers to the data files that TECH DATA produced in this litigation, entitled: 10CC438.xls, 20CC438.xls, Book1_1.xls, Book1_2.xls, Desktops Notebooks (3).xls, AMD-Intel1.doc, AMD-Intel[1].doc.
9. The relevant time period for these topics is January 1, 2000 to the present.

DEPOSITION TOPICS

1. The recordation, compilation, storage, and production of the data produced by TECH DATA in connection with this litigation, including the system in which that data was stored.
2. The manner in which the data produced by TECH DATA is maintained and prepared in the ordinary course of business.
3. The data that TECH DATA produced in this litigation, including the definitions for all data fields, abbreviations or codes reflected as values in any data fields, the provision of formulas for all calculated fields, product identification, and the interaction among the datasets produced.
4. Explanation of the net acquisition cost to TECH DATA of a product, net of all rebates, discounts, and credits at the time of purchase and after.
5. How to determine the net price paid by the customer for a given sale (net of all rebates, discounts, and credits at the time of the sale and after).
6. How to identify: a) sales of custom-to-order (“CTO”) and built-to-order (“BTO”) or other custom computer system products; b) customer type (in the SALES DATA).
7. Identification in the SALES DATA of internal sales or transfers, product returns, credits and debits.
8. Explanation of vendor and consumer rebates:
 - a. How are they captured in the SALES DATA and identification of those adjustments in the data;
 - b. How to identify rebates related to MICROPROCESSORS or COMPUTER SYSTEMS;
 - c. How to potentially link consumer rebates to their original transactions in the SALES DATA;
 - d. Types of consumer rebates offered to customers in the ordinary course of business;
 - e. Whether TECH DATA offers any post-transactional rebates (e.g., Mail-In Rebates) and whether data on such rebates exist;
 - f. Types of vendor rebates TECH DATA generally receives;
 - g. How the REBATE DATA and its variables relate to other data sets and other variables;
 - h. How to interpret the values of different variables in the REBATE DATA.

9. Explanation of TECH DATA's policy on sales at a loss (e.g., sales where the unit price is less than the unit cost).
10. Explanation for incidents in the SALES DATA where multiple costs are associated with the same product on the same day.
11. Explanation for identifying 1) open-box or refurbished products; 2) key characteristics of processors sold in computer systems (i.e., brand and model, speed, cache, and fsb).
12. Explanation of use for the LOOKUP TABLES, including: a) interpretation of different variables and their definitions; b) how variables relate to other variables in the LOOKUP TABLES and the other data sets.