EXHIBIT 7

Donn P. Pickett

Direct Phone: 415.393.2082 Direct Fax: 415.262.9217 donn.pickett@bingham.com

February 6, 2009

Via Email

Mark A. Samuels O'Melveny & Myers LLP 400 South Hope Street Los Angeles, CA 90071-2899

Re: AMD v. Intel: Request for Email File Counts

Dear Mark:

This responds to your letter of December 31, 2008 which requested certain post-remediation file counts related to Intel's production custodians. Along with this letter, we are providing you with an Excel file that includes responsive file count information for the time period of January 1, 2000 to June 1, 2006 (for Intel-designated custodians) or March 31, 2007 (for AMD-designated custodians, including free-throw custodians).

Per your request, we have provided each production custodian's total unique monthly file counts broken out by sent, received and total emails. In addition, for each of the sent, received and total email categories, we have identified the total unique organic and repopulation email counts. For purposes of this designation, "organic" emails are emails obtained from any source attributable to the *subject* custodian, and "repopulation" emails are emails obtained from any source attributable to *other* custodians. Repopulation emails were deduplicated against organic emails for purposes of the total email count so that if an email was produced to AMD as both an organic and repopulation item, it was only counted once in the report as an organic item.

Please note that the combined total of organic produced sent and received emails (columns F and G, respectively) does not necessarily add up to the total number of organic produced emails (column E). Any such disparities in the report – which in most cases are minimal – may result from a variety of reasons, including:

- (1) If an email was sent to a custodian via a distribution list, the custodian's name would not appear on the To/Cc/Bcc fields, and thus the email will not be reported as a "received" item, but will count in the "total" amount.
- (2) If a custodian was blind-copied on an email, the custodian's name would not be reflected in the metadata of the received email. As a result, such emails will not be reported as "received" items, but will count in the "total" amount.
- (3) If a custodian's data collection included PSTs from a different employee, for example, where an employee received email files from his/her predecessor, the emails contained in these PSTs may never have actually been "sent" or "received" by the subject custodian. These emails nonetheless will be reported in the "total" for the subject custodian since they were included in his/her files.

Boston
Hartford
Hong Kong
London
Los Angeles
New York
Orange County
Sen Francisco
Santa Monica
Silicon Valley
Tokyo
Walnut Creek

Bingham McCutchen LLP Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4067

> 415.393.2000 415.393.2286 bingham.com

Mark A. Samuels February 6, 2009 Page 2

Also note that the report includes AMD's free-throw custodians. These custodians were designated by AMD subsequent to Intel's Report and Proposed Remediation Plan submitted on April 23, 2007. Some of AMD's free-throw custodians were on Intel's original list of 1,023 Designated Custodians; others were not and thus were not part of the remediation plan. A list of free-throw custodians who were not included on Intel's original list, as well as the approximate date of designation, is attached to this letter.

Many of Intel's Production Custodians did not work at Intel for the entire production period. This will have an obvious impact on their productions. Intel has already provided to AMD a list of Intel custodians whose employment has been terminated.

Additionally, there are a small number of documents in Intel's production to AMD that have been misallocated among a few custodians. We will be providing an overlay to FCS correcting these misallocations, and will produce a revised report to AMD incorporating what Intel expects to be a small number of revisions.

Please let us know if you have any questions.

Sincerely yours,

Donn P. Pickett

cc: Mr. Eric Friedberg (by email)

ATTACHMENT

Intel Custodian	Date
REDACTED	7/16/2008
	2/19/2008
	7/16/2008
	9/29/07*
	10/14/2008
	9/29/07*
	2/19/2008
	5/13/2008
	10/14/2008
	10/14/2008
	10/14/2008
	5/13/2008
	10/14/2008
	10/14/2008
	10/14/2008
	10/14/2008
	2/19/2008
	2/19/2008
	10/14/2008
	10/14/2008
	10/14/2008
	10/14/2008

^{*} These two individuals are not free-throws custodians, but rather were added to Intel's list of party-designated custodians in September 2007 per AMD's request. See 9/29/07 Floyd Letter.