

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

|                                    |   |                       |
|------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC. and   | ) |                       |
| AMD INTERNATIONAL SALES & SERVICE, | ) |                       |
| LTD.,                              | ) |                       |
|                                    | ) |                       |
| Plaintiffs,                        | ) |                       |
|                                    | ) | C.A. No. 05-441 (JJF) |
| v.                                 | ) |                       |
|                                    | ) |                       |
| INTEL CORPORATION and              | ) |                       |
| INTEL KABUSHIKI KAISHA,            | ) |                       |
|                                    | ) |                       |
| Defendants.                        | ) |                       |

---

IN RE: ) MDL Docket No. 05-1717 (JJF)


INTEL CORP. MICROPROCESSOR )

ANTITRUST LITIGATION )

**NOTICE OF ISSUANCE OF SUBPOENA**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE on March 23, 2007, that the attached subpoena duces tecum was issued for service upon Ernst & Young LLP.

BALICK & BALICK, LLC

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Adam Balick (#2718)  
711 King Street  
Wilmington, Delaware 19801  
(302) 658-4265  
[abalick@balick.com](mailto:abalick@balick.com)

Attorneys for Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., and  
AMD International Sales & Services, Ltd.

Dated: March 23, 2007

**Issued by the**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., and  
 AMD International Sales & Services, Ltd.  
 V.  
 Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kaisha  
 In Re: Intel Corp Microprocessor Antitrust Litigation

**SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE**  
 Case Number:<sup>1</sup> 05-441-JJF, MDL 05-1717-JJF

TO: Ernst & Young LLP  
 c/o National Registered Agents, Inc.  
 160 Greentree Drive, Suite 101  
 Dover, Delaware 19904

YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the United States District court at the place, date, and time specified below to testify in the above case.

|                    |               |
|--------------------|---------------|
| PLACE OF TESTIMONY | COURTROOM     |
|                    | DATE AND TIME |

YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the place, date, and time specified below to testify at the taking of a deposition in the above case.

|                     |               |
|---------------------|---------------|
| PLACE OF DEPOSITION | DATE AND TIME |
|---------------------|---------------|

YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and permit inspection and copying of the following documents or objects at the place, date, and time specified below (list documents or objects):


**See Attached Schedule A**

|   |  |
|---|--|
| PLACE<br>Balick & Balick<br>711 King Street<br>Wilmington, Delaware 19801 | DATE AND TIME<br>April 23, 2007<br>5:00 p.m. (Eastern Standard Time) |
|---|--|

YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of the following premises at the date and time specified below.

|          |               |
|----------|---------------|
| PREMISES | DATE AND TIME |
|----------|---------------|

Any organization not a party to this suit that is subpoenaed for the taking of a deposition shall designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to testify on its behalf, and may set forth, for each person designated, the matters on which the person will testify. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 30(b)(6).

|   |                        |
|---|------------------------|
| ISSUING OFFICER'S SIGNATURE AND TITLE (INDICATE IF ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF OR DEFENDANT)<br><br><br>Attorney For Plaintiffs | DATE<br>March 23, 2007 |
|---|------------------------|

ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER  
 Alicia K. Hancock  
 O'Melveny & Myers LLP  
 1999 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 700  
 Los Angeles, CA 90067  
 (310) 553-6700

(See Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d) and (e), on next page)

<sup>1</sup> If action is pending in district other than district of issuance, state district under case number.

PROOF OF SERVICE

DATE

PLACE

SERVED

SERVED ON (PRINT NAME)

MANNER OF SERVICE

SERVED BY (PRINT NAME)

TITLE

DECLARATION OF SERVER

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Proof of Service is true and correct.

Executed on

DATE

SIGNATURE OF SERVER

ADDRESS OF SERVER

Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d) and (e), as amended on December 1, 2006:

(c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS.

(1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena. The court on behalf of which the subpoena was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate sanction, which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and a reasonable attorney's fee.

(2) (A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of designated electronically stored information, books, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.

(B) Subject to paragraph (d)(2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling may, within 14 days after service of subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objection to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to inspect, copy, test or sample the materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoena was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production, inspection, copying, testing or sampling. Such an order to compel shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the inspection, copying, testing or sampling commanded.

(3) (A) On timely motion, the court by which a subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena if it

(i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance;

(ii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person resides, is employed or regularly transacts business in person, except that, subject to the provisions of clause (c)(3)(B)(iii) of this rule, such a person may in order to attend trial be commanded to travel from any such place within the state in which the trial is held;

(iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies; or

(iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) If a subpoena

(i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or

(ii) requires disclosure of an unretained expert's opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party, or

(iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court may, to protect a person subject to or affected by the subpoena, quash or modify the subpoena or, if the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that

cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.

(d) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPOENA.

(1) (A) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand.

(B) If a subpoena does not specify the form or forms for producing electronically stored information, a person responding to a subpoena must produce the information in a form or forms in which the person ordinarily maintains it or in a form or forms that are reasonably usable.

(C) A person responding to a subpoena need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) A person responding to a subpoena need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or to quash, the person from whom discovery is sought must show that the information sought is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) (A) When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.

(B) If information is produced in response to a subpoena that is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has and may not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved. A receiving party may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. If the receiving party disclosed the information before being notified, it must take reasonable steps to retrieve it. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(e) CONTEMPT. Failure of any person without adequate excuse to obey a subpoena served upon that person may be deemed a contempt of the court from which the subpoena issued. An adequate cause for failure to obey exists when a subpoena purports to require a nonparty to attend or produce at a place not within the limits provided by clause (ii) of subparagraph (c)(3)(A).

## **Schedule A**

### **Definitions**

1. For purposes of this document request, "DOCUMENT" includes, without limitation, any hard copy writings and documents as well as electronically stored data-files including email, instant messaging, shared network files, and databases created, accessed, modified or dated on or after January 1, 2001.

2. With respect to electronically stored data, "DOCUMENT" also includes, without limitation, any data on magnetic or optical storage media (*e.g.*, servers, storage area networks, hard drives, backup tapes, CDs, DVDs, thumb/flash drives, floppy disks, or any other type of portable storage device, etc.) stored as an "active" or backup file, in its native format.

3. For purposes of this document request, "MICROPROCESSOR" means general purpose microprocessors using the x86 instruction set (*e.g.*, Sempron, Athlon, Turion, Opteron, Celeron, Pentium, and Xeon).

4. For purposes of this document request, "ERNST & YOUNG" refers to Ernst & Young LLP and any of its controlled present or former subsidiaries, parents, and predecessor or successor companies. For purposes of this document request, "INTEL" refers to Intel Corporation, Intel Kabushiki Kaisha, and any of their present or former subsidiaries, affiliates, parents, assigns, predecessor or successor companies and divisions thereof.

5. For purposes of this document request, "E-CAP" refers to exceptions to corporate approved pricing, "MDF" refers to market development funds, "JMP" refers to funds for joint marketing programs, "BDF" refers to business development funds, and "MOAP" refers to "Mother of All Programs" incentives or payments.

### **Instructions**

1. The time period, unless otherwise specified, covered by each request set forth below is from January 1, 2001 up to and including the present.

2. In responding to each request set forth below, please set forth each request in full before each response.

3. If any DOCUMENT covered by these requests is withheld by reason of a claim of privilege, please furnish a list at the time the DOCUMENTS are produced identifying any such DOCUMENT for which the privilege is claimed, together with the following information with respect to any such DOCUMENT withheld: author; recipient; sender; indicated or blind copies; date; general subject matter; basis upon which privilege is claimed and the paragraph of these requests to which such DOCUMENT relates. For each DOCUMENT withheld under a claim that it constitutes or contains attorney work product, also state whether ERNST & YOUNG asserts that the DOCUMENT was prepared in anticipation of litigation or for trial.

4. If ERNST & YOUNG objects to a request in part, please state specifically which part of the request ERNST & YOUNG objects to and produce all DOCUMENTS responsive to all other parts of the request.

5. With respect to any DOCUMENT maintained or stored electronically, please harvest it in a manner that maintains the integrity and readability of all data, including all metadata.

6. Please produce all DOCUMENTS maintained or stored electronically in native, electronic format with all relevant metadata intact and in an appropriate and useable manner (e.g., by copying such data onto a USB 2.0 external hard drive). Encrypted or password-protected DOCUMENTS should be produced in a form permitting them to be reviewed.

7. Please organize electronic DOCUMENTS produced for inspection in the same manner that ERNST & YOUNG stores them (e.g., if maintained by a custodian, such as email residing on an email server, please organize DOCUMENTS for production by custodian; if maintained in a subfolder of "My Documents" on a custodian's hard drive, please organize DOCUMENTS for production by custodian with path information preserved, etc.).

8. To the extent responsive DOCUMENTS reside on databases and other such systems and files, ERNST & YOUNG shall either produce the relevant database in useable form and/or shall permit access for inspection, review, and extraction of responsive information.

9. At ERNST & YOUNG's election, DOCUMENTS maintained or stored in paper, hard-copy form can be produced as searchable .PDF (i.e., portable document format files with embedded text) and in an appropriate and useable manner (e.g., by copying such data onto a USB 2.0 external hard drive).

#### **DOCUMENTS TO BE PRODUCED**

1. All DOCUMENTS prepared or received by you in connection with your audit of INTEL that discuss or concern the terms and conditions or disclosure of any transaction between Intel and a computer manufacturer, distributor or retailer pursuant to which Intel granted or extended any discounts, rebates, Intel Inside funds, E-CAP, MCP funds, "meet comp" funds, "meeting competition" funds, "Opteron" funds, JMP funds, BDF funds, MOAP funds, bid buckets, "Go Faster" funds, "Off the Top" funds, or MDF in connection with its purchase, marketing or promotion of MICROPROCESSORS or products containing MICROPROCESSORS.